time would unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The parties agree that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from October 3, 2007 to November 7, 2007, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

A proposed Order is submitted with this stipulation.

6

1

2

3

4

5

7 8

9

DATED: October 4, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney

/s/ Brigid S. Martin BRIGID S. MARTIN

Special Assistant United States Attorney

10

11

12 13

14

15

/s/ Steven F. Gruel STEVEN F. GRUEL

Counsel for Donald Clyburn

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SPEEDY TRIAL ACT STIP. CR 07-0473 PJH

1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
7	
8	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 07-0473 PJH
9	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
10) TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT v.
11	DONALD CLYBURN,
12	Defendant.
13	
14	
15	Based upon the Stipulation of defendant Donald Clyburn and the United States, and for
16	good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
17	§ 3161(b), is excluded from October 3, 2007 to November 7, 2007, under 18 U.S.C.
18	§ 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv). The Court finds that the failure to grant this exclusion of time would
19	unreasonably deny counsel for the defendant and the government the reasonable time necessary
20	for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court further
21	finds that the ends of justice served by such action outweigh the best interest of the public and
22	the defendant in a speedy trial.
23	
24	
25	DATED: HON. PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
26	United States District Court Judge
27	
28	

Case 3:07-cr-00473-PJH Document 15 Filed 10/09/2007 Page 3 of 3